

16/07288/OUT

Sir,

I was shocked and appalled to see that yet again, Gleeson are attempting to drive a coach and horses through the local democratic process, driven, clearly, by the pursuit of profit.

You must refuse this unwarranted, opportunistic and unwelcome development for the following reasons:

- It's is counter to the adopted and democratically-validated Neighbourhood Plan, as the application site was assessed but not allocated for development.
- It has been widely rejected by over 200 people in the applicant's pre-application consultation.
- it would demonstrate that any speculative and opportunistic application would receive a hearing, rather than being rejected out of hand as it is not part of the made Neighbourhood Plan
- It would would contribute to over-development of Malmesbury and have an adverse impact on infrastructure and services including schooling and the character and culture of the Town.
- There is no indication that there is a need for this housing - the 2026 allocation has been achieved in the Neighbourhood Plan.
- The developer offers no compelling other benefits - this is profit driven development
- It is clear that the development is not a sustainable development, which is identified by the Minister in his Foreword to the NPPF with being "change for the better."

I wish that you reject, unreservedly, this application.

Michael Edgley-Pyshorn
Resident - Burton Hill
Parish Councillor - St Paul Malmesbury Without PC