

From: [Richard Gray](#)
To: [Developmentmanagement](#)
Subject: Planning Application 16/07288/OUT
Date: 15 September 2016 12:43:32

Dear Sirs,

I would like to express my objection to the planning application 16/07288/OUT.

There are various reasons why the application should be rejected:

1. this site is not included in the Malmesbury Neighbourhood Plan as an area for housing development. The MNP was developed following Government guidelines and is in force until 2026.
2. Malmesbury already has more than its quota of new housing developments in the Wiltshire Core Strategy for the period up to 2026.
3. I am concerned as to whether the attenuation pond will be of adequate size to prevent water run-off down the hill into the Reeds Farm Estate. This should always be a major consideration in a development on high ground.
4. This development will introduce yet another entrance onto Filands. With the development of the Dysons and that companies contribution to introduction of the two roundabouts at either end of Filands, it can be expected that Filands will already be taking a considerable amount of extra traffic. I recall that Pewsham Way in Chippenham was built without roundabouts and it took fatal accidents before it was realised that residential access onto a major road had to be carefully thought through. I do not see any evidence of such considerations. It is astonishing the Highways England do not see this as a major issue.
5. Such a development WILL have an impact on the whole of Malmesbury - it will lead to an increase in traffic in the town, an increase in children requiring school places and an increase in demand on the health care services. The town is already stretched in these areas already and this development will only make matters worse.

Therefore I strongly recommend that this proposal be rejected.

Your faithfully

Richard Gray (Dr)
85 Gloucester Road
Malmesbury
SN16 0AJ